

Housing development consultation 1 September 2022 – 9 September 2022

Address	Comments- Verbatim	Response
STONERS CLOSE RESULTS		
Stoners Close	Disgusting!! You have no idea how and what it's like to live there it's full of horrible people and you only house Drug dealers sort them out filter the system move into bungalows! Build 7 bungalows I am all for that NOT THREE STOREY HOUSES LOOKING INTO MY OWNED HOME Allotments are needed Houses for people who are loud and disrespectful are not welcome we have ENOUGH DISGUSTING	Noted
Tukes Avenue	I like the fact I can still have access to my garden, houses & design look good should brighten and feel safe now I am very happy with this proposal	Noted
The Mead	-Lose access to rear for unloading shopping -Adequate vehicle access to site -Worried about height of building – blocking light to bungalows *worry about noise from family* YOU ARE NOT GOING TO DEMOLISH MY HOME?	Noted
The Mead	Questions answered, happy with the plans. Concerned about the wildlife and foxes. Disabled lady gets picked up at the rear with wheelchair Keep access open during construction. Kier workman park at rear	An ecology survey has been produced to assist in mitigating any disruption to wildlife. The landscape design promotes new planting and trees which will hope to promote future biodiversity.
Stoners Close	Bungalows – Keep access to drop off shopping -Can access gardens when under construction? Will have to maintain -Rear access	New parking to the site is un-allocated therefore residents of the bungalows can use the new spaces to drop off shopping if spaces are available. A construction management plan will be put in place by the contractor which can outline which access routes will need to be maintained during the

Appendix B

		construction phase.
Stoners	Dislike 3 storey houses – overlooked Ideally would like dropped kerb/driveway in garden in perfect world	The building has been positioned so that separation distances meet Gosport Design Guidance. Where properties face each other, there are distances of approximately 27-28m from habitable rooms.
Nursery Close	Concerned about size of entrance & the fact it goes onto Tukes Ave, more traffic here from Peel Common. Worried about being overlooked Parking worries	The landscaping design has been developed to increase the vehicular entrance by combining the vehicular and pedestrian surfaces into one shared surface, encouraging cars to slow down whilst making the space feel grander. The separation distance between Nursery Close dwellings and the proposed building is roughly 31m which is above the Gosport Council recommended minimum separation distances New parking on the site has been provided to suit the number of new dwellings in line with Gosport Council requirements. There should therefore be enough new parking on site to accommodate the new residents.
Stoners Close (Nursery Close)	I would think keeping the small alleyway to the North East of the site (going past the bungalows) may increase noise and traffic for the bungalow residents.	Noted

Appendix B

<p>Stoners</p>	<p>Extra land? No Overlooking? No</p>	<p>The building has been positioned so that separation distances meet Gosport Design Guidance. Where properties face each other, there are distances of approximately 27-28m from habitable rooms.</p>
<p>Stoners Close (email)</p>	<p>Hi</p> <p>I was unable to come to the exhibition yesterday but have seen the plans online today. I note the plans say access to neighbouring properties has been retained but the plans appear to show the access to xx Tukes Avenue is blocked by plants and climbers. Can you confirm if this is the case and if you intend to attach the plants and climbers to our existing fence or to erect a new fence on our shared boundary.</p> <p>I understand somebody from the council spoke to my father today but he is unable to tell me what this was about and so can I request that you E mail me direct with any requests as I am also a resident at xx Tukes Avenue.</p>	<p>Noted and acknowledged</p> <p>Any existing access points onto the site from existing gardens will be retained. The landscaping design has been developed following a site visit to include planters that work around access points and therefore not block any access.</p>
<p>Stoners Close (Town Hall event)</p>	<p>Development of three storey houses is out of keeping with other buildings bordering the site which are 1 & 2 storeys high brickwork & render of surrounding properties concerned at impact to surrounding properties of the noise, drainage & affect on utilities on 52 people living in such a small area plans say access to neighbouring properties is retained but you have cut my access off – also plans say properties will not be overlooked – the angles are such my property will be overlooked by all of the three storey properties.</p>	<p>A drainage consultant has been part of the design team to ensure foul water capacities will be met without any disruption to surrounding properties.</p> <p>Any existing access points onto the site from existing gardens will be retained. The landscaping design has been developed following a site visit to ensure access points have been included and retained.</p> <p>Where the new building directly faces</p>

Appendix B

		<p>an existing property, separation distances set out in Gosport Design Guide have been met.</p>
<p>Stoners Close (Town Hall event)</p>	<p>I totally object to this proposal. It means there are 5 3 storey houses in an area of only single or 2 storey houses. This means they will be looking directly into my bedroom windows, they will be looking directly into my bedroom windows, they will reduce the lighting and the garden will be overlooked. With all the other new house build proposals in Bridgemary the infrastructure cannot take anymore. With 4 Bedroom houses the noise level will increase in an area of quiet residential houses.</p>	<p>Where the new building directly faces an existing property, separation distances set out in Gosport Design Guide have been met. Therefore although the building may be three storeys instead of two, the distances between the buildings prevent overlooking.</p> <p>The building will not affect the lighting to Stoners Close residents as it is located north of Stoners Close therefore the sun path will not be disrupted.</p> <p>New trees and planting areas will help to reduce noise from new families within the area. Each rear garden has been given a new tree to assist with noise and visual impact reduction</p>
<p>Stoners Close (email)</p>	<p>I am writing to voice my views on the development planned behind my home - xx Stoners Close.</p> <p>I'm very concerned about the detrimental impact this will have on my home for a number of reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It will decrease the value of my home, especially as these will be 3 storeys looking into my garden - just because they are at a legal distance doesn't mean they are at a comfortable distance. Will we be compensated for this? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The building will not affect the lighting to Stoners Close residents as it is located north of Stoners Close therefore the sun path will not be disrupted. 3. Where the new building directly faces an existing property, separation distances set out in Gosport Design

Appendix B

	<p>2. The impact on natural light to my garden due to them being 3 storeys.</p> <p>3. The impact on my privacy especially with them being 3 storeys.</p> <p>4. It's not in keeping with the area - the properties to one side are bungalows, all other properties surrounding the area of the proposed build are typical 2 storey homes, none have loft conversions or anything to justify 3 storey homes.</p> <p>5. Prior to the planning being released I requested permission for right of way so we could put a driveway in our garden, which the 2 gardens to the left have but obviously due to the planning you had to turn it down at this stage. Although it probably seems minor it is something we desperately need. As it stands we have installed a patio so we can walk from our back gate to our back door</p> <p>I completely understand the need for more council properties and feel bungalows which is similar to the properties there or 2 storey properties would be far more reasonable and ideally arranged in a way to give us the same access the 2 properties next to us have.</p> <p>Thank you for reading my views. Please can this be added to wherever is needed for it to be officially noted against these plans.</p> <p>Kind Regards</p>	<p>Guide have been met. Therefore although the building may be three storeys instead of two, the distances between the buildings prevents overlooking. Each rear garden has been given a new tree to assist with any visual impact.</p> <p>4. There is a range of different building heights within close proximity of the site. The three-storey dwellings with the two-book end 2.5 storeys will look to add interest to the skyline of when walking past or through the site. The book end units also help to step up from the surrounding buildings to the three-storey dwellings.</p> <p>5. Existing access will be maintained but no new permissions will be granted</p>
<p>Stoners Close (email)</p>	<p>I have looked at the plans for the new housing in stoners close, and at last a council that is Building homes that are great for the environment, and having solar. Panels , keeping energy costs down, for the residents, as long as you make them affordable homes for people to rent or buy which ever your options are , I think these plans for new housing is great , got my approval, as a Gosport Arian , who was born and lived in Gosport all my 71 years of life , With kind regards</p>	<p>Noted and acknowledged</p>

Appendix B

GLEBE DRIVE RESULTS		
The Fairway	<p>Not happy about losing garage Positive – improves the whole area better access from high drive Parking concern</p>	<p>Noted. Garage application being submitted for alternative location There is no net loss of parking due to the development. The plans are to re-provide the existing 6 spaces, provide 6 spaces for the new dwellings plus one additional space.</p>
Glebe Drive	<p>Colour of new build to match existing housing. 6 allocated parking & 6 existing but potentially 4 person dwelling could be 12 parking spots if they are not children then parking is insufficient to cope. Storage for the flats? Take away the garages but not provide any solutions for re-storage of items. Passivhaus – heating unit to be able to be inverted to cooling for summer? Caliber of people moving into these houses?</p>	<p>Noted Parking has been provided to meet Gosport Parking Design Standards. Providing more parking than this will dominate the site with hard surfaces. Instead the design looks to include green spaces to help soften the development and promote biodiversity. The building has aims to achieve net zero carbon and as such utilises a fabric first approach in order to minimise energy expended on site. Overheating risk can be mitigated to an acceptable level using window openings and rationalising solar gains. No active cooling is therefore provided in the design as passive measures are sufficient to combat overheating.</p>
Glebe Drive	<p>Not happy about losing garage Better from high drive</p>	<p>Noted. Garage application being submitted for alternative location</p>

Appendix B

	Parking concern	There is no net loss of parking due to the development. The plans are to re-provide the existing 6 spaces, provide 6 spaces for the new dwellings and an additional space for an existing unmarked space that is used by a nearby resident.
Glebe Drive	Affordable homes, no such thing as an affordable home. Concerned about parking issues. Build 2 house and I will be happy	Noted
Glebe Drive	Colour of brick Construction vehicles I don't want entrance from Glebe Drive	<p>Noted</p> <p>The colour of brick alongside the zinc roofs bring a contemporary feel to the new building. The surrounding buildings are a mix of red brick, light render. The selected brickwork will be a fitting contrast.</p> <p>A construction management plan will be put in place to ensure any large vehicles are planned and accommodated for within the nearby area.</p> <p>A transport survey has been undertaken following comments regarding the removal of any Glebe Drive access point. The access point is required for large refuse vehicle to manoeuvre around the Glebe Drive corner in its existing condition. The site layout has therefore maintained this access point.</p>

Appendix B

<p>Glebe drive</p>	<p>Concerned about parking through road Prefer to come into site through High Drive If it's a cut through road from Glebe Drive to High Drive people will use it as a cut through to miss lights at the end of Carisbrooke Road.</p>	<p>Noted There is no vehicular cut through road from Glebe Drive to High Drive, only an improved pedestrian path. The site layout has been developed to have access via Glebe Drive to not remove existing parking on High Drive and to work with refuse vehicle routes on Glebe Drive.</p>
<p>Glebe Drive</p>	<p>-Parking -Noise</p>	<p>The landscaping design looks to promote planting and new trees to minimise noise and visual impacts from the development</p>
<p>Glebe Drive</p>	<p>Vehicle access needs to be via High Drive – due to dangerous corner on Glebe Drive. Therefore no access via Glebe Dr. Reasonably happy with configuration of plan and in line with existing properties. Colour of bricks not in keeping with existing houses. Happy with 'eco' friendly aspect of build. Worried about devaluing private owned properties. IF the plan stays the same as we've seen at Nobes Hall 01/09/2022 we would say that is the best option.</p>	<p>The colour of brick alongside the zinc roofs bring a contemporary feel to the new building. The surrounding buildings are a mix of red brick, light render. The selected brickwork will be a fitting contrast.</p> <p>The space will dramatically improve in terms of visual appearance with improved green space and contemporary materials therefore a case could be made for an increase in value to local properties.</p>
<p>Glebe Drive</p>	<p>-Concerned about the parking -to ensure builders park within the sight while work is being done -noise while work is being done -Council doesn't finish the work on current properties</p>	<p>A construction management plan will be put in place by the contractor which can outline parking requirements, deliveries and how noise will be mitigated.</p>
<p>Glebe Drive</p>	<p>Design seems well thought out, happy with use of space.</p>	<p>Noted</p>

Appendix B

	Happy that consideration has been given to additional parking requirements that would be needed with houses as street is already congested with traffic with limited space.	
Glebe Drive	<p>x & x Glebe Drive : (We have a lot of elderly disabled people living in Glebe Drive flats not all manage to park in one of 2 disabled bays). They use & rent the garages for storage as they don't have storage at flats... what happens to them if any parking spaces /existing are taken away & then according to new plans put in Long drive/High drive. You expect elderly to drive around, pray for a space & if successful then have to walk through the gap back up their flats. This proposal is completely disregarding the existing the resident & housing situation in Glebe Drive.</p> <p>Suggestion: Where the existing garages are now when demolished, use the footprint for car park spaces Glebe Drive allows existing 6+ spaces there already to be maintained then shift the existing plans downwards so the gardens house (3 now) & remaining 6 places (car spaces) are at the front of them & accessed via Long drive/High drive.</p>	The site layout has been developed to re-provide the 6 existing parking spaces with access remaining on Glebe Drive. This solution enables current users to minimise travelling to and from the parking space.
High Drive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -concerns about sewage system where will it be linked? -concerns about security especially the back access to nox&x High Drive & vandalism of cars with peeps using alley to escape. -loss of parking for High Drive residents and more traffic because of access. 	<p>A drainage consultant has produced a drainage design for the site. Drainage is to run up to Glebe Drive, connecting with the existing drainage run in the street.</p> <p>The site layout has changed to maintain the parking on High Drive by having access via Glebe Drive</p>
Carisbrooke Road	Main concerns are would we be overlooked. Noise levels and why were we not notified of the development. Shame it's not bungalows	<p>No windows from the development will look directly onto Carisbrooke Road and the development is set 21m away from the existing dwellings.</p> <p>Two storey houses enable meeting the demand for family houses and the</p>

Appendix B

		mass and scale reflects the surrounding properties.
Glebe Drive	No through road (please) from Glebe to High Drive. Like the idea of passivhaus Like modern designs	Noted
Glebe Drive (email)	I have taken a look on line at the Proposal for Glebe Drive garages and I do have a question/concern. Have the refused collection company/ staff been asked about how they would be able to turn at the bottom of the road to reverse up to the top end of my road to enable the truck to drive back down the road after collection. At the moment the truck and other heavy vehicles have to drive to the garage section and reverse up to my house at the top x/x to enable to collect/deliver, because large vehicles can not turn at the top due to other cars parked there, they then are able to drive back down the road to exit Glebe Drive. Please continue to update me.	A transport survey has been undertaken following comments regarding the removal of any Glebe Drive access point. The access point is required for large refuse vehicle to manoeuvre around the Glebe Drive corner in its existing condition. The site layout has therefore maintained this access point.
Glebe Drive (email)	To whom it may concern? Regarding the above proposed development If my understanding is correct at least 12 parking places will be lost and although there is new provision for 12 spaces the 3 new houses could in theory take 6 of these therefore there would be an overall loss of 6 places for current residents and their visitors , not to mention tradesmen etc who need to access the properties. I believe that if the parties proposing these works were the residents of Glebe and High Drive they certainly would not approve the development. Access on both sides will presumably be severely restricted during works and there is nowhere else for people to park near their houses without causing obstruction. The disruption to the residents near to this area will be huge ,the access and turning	Parking has been provided to meet Gosport Parking Design Standards. Providing more parking than this will dominate the site with hard surfaces. Instead the design looks to include green spaces to help soften the development and promote biodiversity. A construction management plan will be put in place by the contractor which can outline parking requirements, deliveries and how noise will be mitigated for the works.

Appendix B

	<p>is already difficult. There are also night workers very near to the site whose sleep would be disrupted during construction.</p> <p>Another factor against the development is that a long established fox community lives in the bushes at the back of the paved area. It would be detrimental this wildlife to wipe out their habitat.</p> <p>I find it frustrating that with so much waste MOD property in this area a part of this could not be given over to housing instead of trying to squeeze a few here and there at great inconvenience to local people in order to meet targets.</p> <p>I again put forward that if any person proposing the development was a resident of Glebe or High Drive, (especially one who will lose their garage,) they would also be writing emails of opposition to the project.</p>	<p>An ecology survey has been produced to assist in mitigating any disruption to wildlife. The landscape design promotes new planting and trees which will hope to promote future biodiversity.</p>
<p>Glebe Drive (email)</p>	<p>I am writing to you regarding the proposed construction of three homes for social housing purposes.</p> <p>I am delighted at the thought that houses are going to be built upon an area of brown ground.</p> <p>The plans look absolutely wonderful and I think it will enhance the area beautifully. The thought that there is going to be very much needed housing is fantastic.</p> <p>I no doubt believe that many people will object to the plans for their own selfish reasons but the overall decision should be one of gratitude that people will be housed.</p> <p>I look forward to seeing the start of the construction in due course.</p>	<p>Noted and acknowledged</p>
<p>WHEELER CLOSE RESULTS</p>		
<p>Keith Close</p>	<p>-don't like finish of houses prefer red brick -will there be solar panels?</p>	<p>The colour of brick alongside the zinc roofs bring a contemporary feel to the new building. The surrounding buildings are a mix of red brick, light</p>

Appendix B

		<p>render. The selected brickwork will be a fitting contrast.</p> <p>Yes, solar panels to the south facing pitched roofs help to make the proposals more sustainable.</p>
Wheeler Close	<p>Site looks very good. We wait to see what happens. We've seen lots of changes over the years so hopefully this will improve the area</p>	Noted
Rogers Close	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> *Playarea - Big no no. There's enough problems with kids and drugs on that corner. *Neighbours rear access needs to be maintained. *Move Houses to the other side (directly opposite where they are planned.) *Houses too close to our house *Rear car park in Rogers Close needs to be fenced off *Darker bricks to match the rest 	<p>Any existing access points onto the site from existing gardens will be retained. The landscaping design has been developed following a site visit to include planters that work around access points and therefore not block any access.</p> <p>The buildings are positioned in the developed design to accommodate refuse access following vehicle tracking surveys. The buildings have been angled so that they are not directly facing the Rogers Close properties.</p> <p>Rear car park in Rogers Close will be closed off with new boundary treatment.</p> <p>The colour of brick alongside the zinc roofs bring a contemporary feel to the</p>

Appendix B

		new building. The surrounding buildings are a mix of red brick and ranging light colourful render. The selected brickwork will be a fitting contrast.
Rogers Close	Very pleased that more social Housing is being built. The plans are very good and look as they improve the area and utilise what is currently an empty car park area.	Noted
Wheelers Close	Concerned about the noise & asb in the alley way, corner house on San Diego. Pleased to see area improved	Noted
Dukes Road	I think it's about time the council started building their own properties. There has been ground laying to waste far too long	Noted
Rogers Close	Main concern will be privacy of the current properties on Rogers Close with no new build overlooking our gardens. Single storey/bungalows would be preferred as this will limit the impact on residents safe at night with more homes/residents. -Strict checks on families moving in as the area is quiet and anti-social behaviours very low/non-existent, it would be nice for families and not handed to persons with partying lifestyle/substance misuse.	The buildings are positioned in the developed design to accommodate refuse access following vehicle tracking surveys and achieve required parking provisions. The buildings have been angled so that they are not directly facing the Rogers Close properties. Planting and trees have also been proposed to reduce any visual impact.
San Diego Road	Street lighting?? Will anymore be put in. Parking may be an issue, quite a few people park in the area	Bollard street lighting will be used throughout the site, working with the landscaping design for improved pedestrian paths.
Keith Close	Level of noise pre and construction. Plans look good not sure of colour of bricks How long to build Where are workers going to park	A construction management plan will be put in place by the contractor which can outline parking requirements, deliveries and how noise will be mitigated.

Appendix B

<p>Rogers close (email)</p>	<p>At present we have easy access at the rear which is excellent for moving large furniture etc as our front entrance is too small! The extra dwellings will create noise, which will spoil the tranquillity of the area which is crucial to the wellbeing of existing residents. It is not fair to build social housing smack bang in the middle of a close where most have or are in the process of buying their homes! People who live in social housing have no incentive to look after their property especially as most of them will be getting housing benefit. The whole tone of the neighbourhood will be lowered. Why not find a private contractor to build houses if Gosport is short of them.</p> <p>Items of concern</p> <p>Will new properties overlook the rear of properties nos x,x & x Rogers Close. The extra dwellings extra noise, car doors slamming, engines revving, children playing, dogs barking. At present the location is a quiet area, including OAP accommodation therefore the area being of a tranquillity at present which is very much enjoyed, therefore there should be a council rate reduction be considered for this tranquillity nature that will be lost. Also bearing in mind we get foxes and badgers in the area which create interest, which is supported by the local residents.</p> <p>A point of interest</p> <p>The empty old BP site next to Lidl on Forton Road and the bomb site opposite Lidl's, could those sites not be made available for building social housing as at the moment it is like a scene from the 1940's not very presentable on the main approach into Gosport.</p>	<p>The buildings are positioned in the developed design to accommodate refuse access following vehicle tracking surveys and achieve required parking provisions. The buildings have been angled so that they are not directly facing the Rogers Close properties. Planting and trees have also been proposed to reduce any visual impact.</p> <p>An ecology survey has been produced to assist in mitigating any disruption to wildlife. The landscape design promotes new planting and trees which will hope to promote future biodiversity.</p>
<p>Rogers close (email)</p>	<p>Further to submitting our views regarding the above on the 1st Sept., and after seeing the display would you please take the following in to consideration</p> <p>On looking at the picture display there appears to include a lot of shrubbery and other items failed to have been taken in consideration which showed a lack of local environment behaviour study.</p> <p>A problem we have at present is litter, including food wrapping, bottles plastic & glass which come mainly from people using the Spar shop in San Diego road though to Dukes road, though there are litter bins people don't use them, and they just throw their rubbish into the bushes. I periodically collect the rubbish and place it in the bins which is annoying.</p> <p>The worse area is the bushes in both sides of Rogers close, where rubbish is</p>	<p>Noted and acknowledged</p> <p>Following feedback the design now retains the parking spaces to south entrance of the site so that existing residents are not disrupted for using the bays.</p> <p>The landscaping design has looked to</p>

Appendix B

	<p>accessible I collect it and place it appropriately in the bin. Creating more landscape areas only gives these people a greater area to scatter their rubbish. I've had to call the "Street scene" to clear fly typing in this area, consisting of a fencing panel and on another occasion parts of a bed. Also I have removed clothing. So creating more landscape areas could only make the situation worse. If landscaping is carried out at back of my house xx and litter is dumped near at my back entrance I will have to be constantly ringing "Street Scene" to tidy the area. As the illustration shows to do away with the parking spaces at the back of my house Nox and Nos x&x and replace them with landscaping, is out of order has we use those spaces for a very good convenience =</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Vehicle room for unloading especially heavy goods as its easier to enter the rear than the front of the house. 2. Placing the bins out on collection day. 3. Visitors parking here instead of the front which can be congested at times. 4. It is also convenient areas for washing the car which is not possible at the front. <p>There's also appears a small area dictated for a playing area, I feel this could develop into an area off misbehaviour which will be upsetting to the neighbourhood . Could you please clarify what is proposed at the rear of Rogers close houses Nos x,x&x. Is there going to be a footpath or is the ground of the houses going right up the bounty fences of houses x,x&x. I look forward to your reply.</p>	<p>develop appropriate types of planting and green spaces for the site. The use of trees will help to soften the visual impact of the buildings whilst other pockets of planting help to break up a large hard surfaced area.</p>
<p>Wheeler Close (email)</p>	<p>I recieved your letter to build new homes on the garage site in Wheeler close,i am not happy with this proposal & against this happening,i have lived here 21 years,i have neighbour's to my left & also at the back & do not wish to have houses built to the right of my property aswell,it is also not a large area your propsing to build on which i feel is going to build very close to my home & neighbour's at the back,also elderly people live in this area,it is peaceful,no trouble,i have had to put up with constant noise from recent containers that were there for months due to work being carried out in area & skips coming & going & am now glad there gone,i also can relax in my garden enjoying summer month's & the sun i get which i feel will be blocked out wiv extra buildings to side of my home & noise that will be created due to build & unknown resident's your planning to house,i also feel i will have no privacy also,i am very much against this idea & hope other's will be against this also.</p>	<p>Noted and acknowledged</p>